	Case 2:20-cv-01890-KJM-JDP Docume	ent 73 Filed 03/21/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALLEN HAMMLER,	Case No. 2:20-cv-01890-KJM-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	v.	GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TIME TO FILE OBJECTIONS
14	RALPH DIAZ, et al.,	ECF No. 72
15	Defendants.	OBJECTIONS DUE APRIL 15, 2024
16		
17	Defendants move for extra time to file objections to the "Findings and Recommendations	
18	(ECF 71)." ECF No. 72. However, the court's March 5, 2024 order at ECF No. 71 is not a	
19	finding and recommendation; it is a screening order, and screening orders are not dispositive	
20	unless they recommend dismissal of a claim or defendant. With that in mind, Federal Rule of	
21	Civil Procedure 72 grants parties fourteen days to file objections to non-dispositive orders.	
22	Therefore, I construe defendants' motion as a request for an extension of time to file objections	
23	under Rule 72 to the court's March 5, 2024 order at ECF No. 71.	
24	Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
25	1. Defendants' motion for an extension of time, ECF No. 72, is construed as a motion for	
26	an extension of time to file objections to the court's March 5, 2024 order at ECF No. 71, pursuant	
27	to Rule 72.	
28	2. So construed, defendants' motion, ECF No. 72, is granted.	

## 3. Defendants shall file their objections by April 15, 2024. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 20, 2024 JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-01890-KJM-JDP Document 73 Filed 03/21/24 Page 2 of 2